

In re Application of WITT et al.  
Serial No. 09/560,788

**REMARKS**

The Office action has been carefully considered. The Office action rejected claims 1, 20, 31, and 46-48 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. The Office action has indicated that claims 2-4, 6-17, 19, 21-24, 26-30, 32-35, 37-39, and 49 are objected to as the rejected claims detailed previously contain allowable subject matter and will be allowed pending a timely filed terminal disclaimer. Regarding the obviousness-type double patenting rejection, applicants submit a terminal disclaimer herewith, thereby overcoming the rejections.


In re Application of WITT et al.  
Serial No. 09/560,788

### CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-4, 6-17, 19-24, 26-35, 37-39 and 46-49 are patentable over the prior art of record, and that the application is in good and proper form for allowance. A favorable action on the part of the Examiner is earnestly solicited.

If in the opinion of the Examiner a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (425) 836-3030.

Respectfully submitted,



Albert S. Michalik, Reg. No. 37,395  
Attorney for Applicant  
Law Offices of Albert S. Michalik, PLLC  
704 - 228th Avenue NE, Suite 193  
Sammamish, WA 98074  
(425) 836-3030  
(425) 836-8957 (facsimile)